Role of Post-Decompressive Hydrocephalus in Patients with Malignant Cerebral Infarction
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ABSTRACT

AIM: The association between post-decompressive hydrocephalus and clinical neurological expression is still unclear. In order to investigate this relationship, the authors analyze series of ventricular morphology and level of consciousness at different clinical stages for patients accepting decompressive craniectomy (DC).

MATERIAL and METHODS: From 2005 to 2011, 13 patients accepting DC under the diagnosis of malignant cerebral infarction were retrospectively evaluated in terms of ventricular frontal horn dilatation and level of consciousness, Glasgow Coma Scale score, at four different clinical stages: 1) pre-DC stage; 2) post-DC stage while stabilization; 3) post-cranioplasty stage; 4) post-shunt stage [for those with permanent cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) diversion].

RESULTS: All 13 patients had ventricular dilatation and two of them had extra-axial CSF collection. Restoration of ventricular dilatation was not observed in all patients after bone flap placement, but extra-axial CSF collection resorbed spontaneously. Four patients accepting permanent CSF diversion had no improvement over neurological expression. Otherwise, two of them complicated with subdural effusion after shunt placement.

CONCLUSION: Decompressive craniectomy itself would lead to ventricular dilatation universally. There is no direct association between degree of ventriculomegaly and neurological expression. Permanent CSF diversion surgery as treatment for ventriculomegaly makes no clinical improvement with possible complications of overshunting.
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INTRODUCTION

Decompressive craniectomy (DC) has been accepted as a therapeutic strategy for patients with medically refractory increased intracranial pressure (ICP) secondary to severe traumatic brain injury (TBI), malignant middle cerebral artery (MCA) or internal cerebral artery (ICA) infarction, large hypertensive intracerebral hemorrhage (ICH), high-grade aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage and severe cerebral venous sinus thrombosis (5, 7, 12, 13, 14, 17, 20).

The goal of DC is to relieve increased ICP by removing the bone flap to gain additional intracranial volume and improve cerebral perfusion, compliance and oxygenation (10, 16). However, the occurrence of complication after DC, including sinking-flap syndrome, hydrocephalus, subdural hygroma and hematoma is not uncommon (1, 2, 3, 6, 21, 23).
Up to now, hydrocephalus has gained more and more emphasis among these stated complications due to its potential impact on long-term clinical outcome. Hydrocephalus after DC for patients with severe TBI was reported by several studies previously and several identified risk factors for hydrocephalus development were announced (4,6,8,9). However, the certainty of DC itself as the major cause of hydrocephalus is still questionable due to appearance of variable confounding factors in patients with severe TBI. In recent years, the role of hydrocephalus after DC for patients with malignant MCA/ICA infarction or large hypertensive ICH got being discussed by some studies (11,15,18,19,21). Most of them focused on radiographic finding but not influence on clinical outcome.

In this study, we retrospectively recorded and analyzed series of ventricular morphology and level of consciousness at different clinical stages for patients accepting DC due to malignant MCA/ICA infarction. The purpose of this study is to link the morphological change of ventricle on brain computed tomography (CT) scan after DC and neurological expression to determine its clinical significance.

**MATERIAL and METHODS**

**Patient Demographics**

From January 2005 to December 2011, 58 patients accepting DC under the diagnosis of MCA/ICA infarction with severe cerebral swelling in our institute were reviewed retrospectively. The exclusion criteria included patients having previous ventriculoperitoneal shunt placement, those accepting bilateral DC, those with acute hydrocephalus while admission, those died within one month postoperatively and those being lost to follow-up before bone flap replacement.

Among 26 patients eligible for further investigation, we excluded patients having risk factors known to be associated with hydrocephalus development such as subarachnoid hemorrhage, intraventricular hemorrhage and intracranial infection. Six patients accepting ventriculoperitoneal shunt before bone flap replacement were also excluded from this study. Finally, a total of 13 patients (5 male and 8 female) were selected for final analysis.

At the time of surgery, all 13 patients had consciousness deterioration or clinical symptom/sign of increased ICP not respond to medical therapy with obvious mass effect on brain-CT scans. Besides DC, 11 patients accepted additional external ventricular drainage and the other two patients received intra-parenchymal ICP monitor insertion.

After surgery, all patients were monitored in the intensive care unit with ICP-targeted therapy. Cranioplasty was performed under the stabilized clinical condition accompanied with subsided cerebral swelling. Permanent CSF diversion was indicated for patient having persisted radiographic ventricular dilatation after bone flap placement with concomitant worsening neurological expression or lack of clinical improvement.

**Definition of Ventricular Dilatation**

In this study, the radiographic evidence of ventricular dilatation, expression as modified Evans index - the ratio of maximum width of the frontal horn to the maximum width of the inner table of the cranium recorded on the side contralateral to the DC, was recorded (Figure 1). This modification was used to avoid calculation bias secondary to post-infarction encephalomalasia. Extra-axial cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) accumulation was defined as low density fluid collection greater than 1 cm in maximal depth measured from the cortical surface to the inner aspect of the scalp.

Series of change over ventricular dilatation and level of consciousness, Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) score, were recorded at four different stages: 1): pre-DC stage; 2): post-DC stage while stabilization; 3): post-cranioplasty stage; 4): post-shunt stage (for those with permanent CSF diversion) (Table I) (Figure 2A-H).

Fisher’s exact test and nonparametric Mann-Whitney U test were used to investigate the associations between the permanent CSF diversion and following risk variables: 1):gender; 2):age; 3):infarction territory ; 4): laterality of DC; 5):time interval from the clinical diagnosis to DC; 6): time interval from the DC to cranioplasty; 7): skull defect volume, estimation by ABC method (22); 8):distance between bone flap edge to midline. Statistical difference was judged to be significant while p value less than 0.05.

**RESULTS**

The demographic data of all patients was summarized in Table I. This study consisted of a total of 13 patients (5 male
and 8 female) with age ranged from 25 to 84 years (mean, 61.8 years). The involved area of infarction was ICA territory in 6 patients and MCA territory in 7 patients. Ten patients had right-sided and three patients had left-sided infarction. The time interval from clinical diagnosis to DC ranged from 0 to 13 days (mean, 1.84 days). Preoperative GCS score ranged from 5 to 15, and amount of midline shift ranged from 6.4 to 18.7 mm (mean, 14.41 mm). Skull defect volume ranged from 70.66 to 195.04 cm$^3$ (mean, 108.68 cm$^3$) and the distance between bone flap edge to midline was <25 mm in 4 patients and >=25 mm in 9 patients. The duration from DC to cranioplasty ranged from 21 to 165 days (mean, 75.46 days).

Ventricular dilatation was observed on serial brain CT scans in all 13 patients after DC and extra-axial CSF accumulation developed in two patients. After bone flap placement, the degree of ventricular dilatation did not get recovery in all 13 patients, but extra-axial CSF accumulation in two patients disappeared spontaneously (Figures 2F-G). Four patients accepted permanent CSF diversion under the situations stated above. Among these four patients, all of them did not get improvement over level of consciousness and two of them complicated with subdural effusion (Figure 3F).

The difference of time interval from DC to cranioplasty for patients without and with permanent CSF diversion is not significant (mean: 72.7 +/- 25.8 , range from 31 to 104 days vs mean:82.7 +/- 63.5, range from 21 to 165 days; p=0.668). The mean skull defect volume was 109.9 +/- 39.7 cm$^3$ (range from 59.95 to 139.77 cm$^3$) for patients without permanent CSF diversion and 105.9 +/- 28.9 cm$^3$ (range from 70.66 to 131.63 cm$^3$) for those with permanent CSF diversion (p=0.860). Three patients (3/9, 33%) got superior edge of bone flap less than 25 mm from the midline among 9 patients without permanent CSF diversion, and one patient (1/4, 25%) did among 4 patients with permanent CSF diversion. This difference is not significant statistically (p=0.794). The need of permanent CSF diversion was not significantly associated with age, gender, infarction territory, side of infarction and amount of preoperative midline shift respectively (Table II).

**DISCUSSION**

Development of hydrocephalus after decompressive craniectomy (DC) was reported by several studies previously, and several identified risk factors had been announced to be responsible for hydrocephalus formation among patients with severe traumatic brain injury (TBI) (4,6,8,9). The direct association between DC itself and hydrocephalus formation is still uncertain, because appearance of variable confounding factors in patients with severe TBI. The significance of post-decompressive hydrocephalus on clinical neurological outcome was discussed only by few reports, because it was believed that persisted hydrocephalus after DC wound make further neurological damage and obstruct clinical improvement. On the other hand, correction of the hydrocephalus should be beneficial for these patients.

![Figure 2: Series of brain-CT scans at different clinical stages for two patients (A-D and E-H) with MCA infarction. They did not accept permanent CSF diversion.](image-url)
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was not suitable as component of post-decompressive hydrocephalus evaluation due to appearance of obscure discrimination between initial neurological insult and impact of hydrocephalus for patients with poor neurological status. In our study, sequential change of GCS score instead of the modified Rankin scale was used as clinical assessment at different clinical stage in order to detect subtle difference sensitively.

The consecutive change of GCS score as assessment of clinical neurological outcome is not suitable in some instances. But the authors thought that GCS score is objective and most sensitive to detect subtle neurological deficit for patients with cerebral artery infarction. This is because most of them are functional dependent regardless level of consciousness. Other outcome grading scales describing independence degree, the modified Rankin scale and the Barthel index, would underestimate the subtle neurological improvement clinically.

All 13 patients in our study got post-decompressive ventricular dilatation comparing with preoperative brain-CT...
### Table I: Demographic Data of 13 Patients with Malignant Cerebral Artery Infarction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Infarction territory</th>
<th>Side</th>
<th>Time (d)</th>
<th>Midline shift (mm)</th>
<th>Pre-DC GCS</th>
<th>Post-DC GCS</th>
<th>Pre-DC Evans index</th>
<th>Post-DC Evans index</th>
<th>Skull defect volume (cm³)</th>
<th>Bone flap edge (mm)</th>
<th>Time (d) DC to Cra.</th>
<th>Post-Cra. GCS</th>
<th>Post-Cra. Evans index</th>
<th>Shunt</th>
<th>Shunt post-shunt GCS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>ICA</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>E3M5V4</td>
<td>E4M6V5</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>139.77</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>&gt;25</td>
<td>E4M6V5</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>ICA</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>E1M4Vt</td>
<td>E4M4Vt</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>59.95</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>&gt;25</td>
<td>E4M4Vt</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>ICA</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>E1M4Vt</td>
<td>E4M6Vt</td>
<td>0.28;E</td>
<td>195.04</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>&gt;25</td>
<td>E4M6Vt</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>ICA</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>E1M4V1</td>
<td>E2M4Vt</td>
<td>0.26;E</td>
<td>81.97</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>&lt;25</td>
<td>E2M4Vt</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>MCA</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>E2M4V1</td>
<td>E1M4Vt</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>131.63</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>&lt;25</td>
<td>E1M4Vt</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>MCA</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>E1M4V1</td>
<td>E4M5Vt</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>103.74</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>&gt;25</td>
<td>E4M5Vt</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>ICA</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>E1M4V1</td>
<td>E4M6Vt</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>70.66</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>&gt;25</td>
<td>E4M6V4</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>ICA</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>E1M3V1</td>
<td>E4M6V3</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>77.00</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>&gt;25</td>
<td>E4M6V4</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>MCA</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>E4M6V5</td>
<td>E4M6V5</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>112.54</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>&gt;25</td>
<td>E4M6V5</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>MCA</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>E1M4V1</td>
<td>E4M6Vt</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>127.31</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>&gt;25</td>
<td>E4M5Vt</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>MCA</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>E2M5V1</td>
<td>E4M6V1</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>94.02</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>&gt;25</td>
<td>E4M6V1</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>MCA</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>E4M6V5</td>
<td>E4M6V5</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>116.48</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>&gt;25</td>
<td>E4M6V5</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>MCA</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>E1M4V2</td>
<td>E4M6Vt</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>102.7</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>&lt;25</td>
<td>E4M6Vt</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Dx: diagnosis; DC: decompressive craniectomy; Cra.: cranioplasty.

### Table II: Results of the Factors Affecting the Development of Post-Decompressive Hydrocephalus

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical characteristics</th>
<th>Patients without shunt placement (n=9)</th>
<th>Patients with shunt placement (n=4)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender, Male</td>
<td>4 (44.4%)</td>
<td>1 (25.0%)</td>
<td>0.512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>58.7 +/- 19.1</td>
<td>69.0 +/- 15.4</td>
<td>0.365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infarction Territory</td>
<td>MCA 4 (44.4%)</td>
<td>3 (75.0%)</td>
<td>0.322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ICA 5 (55.6%)</td>
<td>1 (25.0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Side of Infarction</td>
<td>Right 7 (77.8%)</td>
<td>3 (75.0%)</td>
<td>0.913</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Left 2 (22.2%)</td>
<td>1 (25.0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midline Shift (mm)</td>
<td>14.1 +/- 3.9</td>
<td>15.0 +/- 2.7</td>
<td>0.695</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interval from DC to Cranoplasty (d)</td>
<td>72.2 +/- 25.8</td>
<td>82.7 +/- 63.5</td>
<td>0.668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone flap edge (mm)</td>
<td>&lt;= 25 3 (33.3%)</td>
<td>1 (25.0%)</td>
<td>0.794</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;25 6 (66.7%)</td>
<td>3 (75.0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skull defect volume (cm³)</td>
<td>109.9 +/- 39.7</td>
<td>105.9 +/- 28.9</td>
<td>0.860</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
scans. Two of 13 patients got post-decompressive extra-axial CSF collection with disappearance themselves after bone flap placement.

In our study, permanent CSF diversion surgery was done in four patients with persisted ventricular dilatation on serial brain-CT scans and unexplainable neurological deficit after bone flap placement. After shunt placement, there was no obvious improvement of neurological function or level of consciousness over these four patients and two of them complicated with subdural effusion on the side contralateral to the DC. Otherwise, nine patients without CSF diversion surgery showed no recovery of ventriculomegaly on brain CT scans after bone flap placement.

The definition of post-decompressive hydrocephalus in most studies was made according to image finding, but not ICP measurement or clinical examination.

Management of ventricular dilatation following DC has been a clinical challenge because it is difficult to differentiate asymptomatic ventriculomegaly secondary to atrophic process from a true symptomatic hydrocephalus. Waziri et al. (21) is the first to report that communicating hydrocephalus, defined as radiographic evidence of ventricular dilatation on serial brain-CT scans, is almost a universal finding after hemicraniectomy for patients with ischemic or hemorrhagic stroke (21). It is hypothesized that hemicraniectomy would disturb CSF dynamic flow, and early cranioplasty may lead to recovery of normal CSF dynamics with spontaneous resolution of hydrocephalus. Obviously, the result of our study is not compatible with this hypothesis. In our study, some identified risk factors announced to be responsible for post-traumatic hydrocephalus formation are not significantly different between patients with permanent CSF diversion and those without CSF diversion.

Theoretically, correction of ventriculomegaly should be beneficial to patients with symptomatic hydrocephalus. In our study, modification of ventriculomegaly by permanent CSF diversion surgery not only makes no clinical improvement, the same as Lee's report, but also complicates with overshunting (11).

We audaciously hypothesized that ventricular dilatation after DC is asymptomatic ventriculomegaly, not true symptomatic hydrocephalus. The real mechanism of ventricular dilatation after DC is still unknown. It may be the result of post-infarction encephalomalacia or decreased compliance of ventricle after DC.

This is a retrospective study with small number of patients, and the clinical analysis is based on clinical observation and assessment. In this article, the authors try to evaluate a more pure impact of DC on the development of hydrocephalus. A future prospective study using the ICP measurement and CSF dynamics investigation is required to determine the more appropriate treatment for patients with post-DC ventriculomegaly.

CONCLUSION
Ventriculomegaly after decompressive craniectomy is a universal radiographic finding on brain-CT scan. The study suggests that ventriculomegaly after DC has no impact on clinical neurological outcome. Permanent CSF diversion makes no clinical improvement with complications of overshunting for this asymptomatic ventriculomegaly after decompressive craniectomy.
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