Morphometric Analysis of Lumbar Arteries and Relationship with Intervertebral Discs: A study of Surgical Anatomy on Human Fresh Cadavers
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ABSTRACT

AIM: To examine the morphological structure of anterior lumbar spinal region.

MATERIAL and METHODS: Fifteen fresh human cadavers were studied in our forensic medicine institution. Within the first 24 hours after death, cadavers were subject to a routine autopsy, by which the intestines were removed and the structures adjacent to the lumbar arteries (LAs) were examined. The following characteristics of the LA were examined and recorded: diameter, number of LA, intervertebral disc, and vertebral corpus relationship, and LA anatomical variations.

RESULTS: In 14 of the 15 cadavers (93.3%), the first four LAs originated from the abdominal aorta. In one (6.7%) cadaver, the fourth LA originated from the right aortic trunk together with the median sacral artery (MSA), and this was observed only on the right side. In one cadaver, the first LA emerged as a common trunk. Twelve cadavers did not have a fifth LA. In one cadaver (6.7%), the fifth LA emerged as in a pair, distally from the origin of the MSA. Two cadavers (13.3%) had fifth LAs that emerged from the common trunk with the MSA and this occurred only on the right side. LAs which emerged from the right side of the abdominal aorta passed under the inferior vena cava. Of the first four LA, the third LA had the largest vessel diameter, and the first LA had the narrowest vessel diameter. Fifth LAs had one of the narrowest vessel diameters of all LAs.

CONCLUSION: We consider that anatomical study of LAs using fresh cadavers can be useful to ensure safer anterior lumbar spinal surgeries.
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INTRODUCTION

Technical advances in anterior lumbar spinal surgery have led to an increase in surgical interventions for infections, congenital and degenerative deformities, traumatic fractures, and primary and secondary neoplastic lesions (14). With an anterior lumbar approach, injury to the lumbar arteries (LAs) is frequently encountered (17). LAs originate from the posterolateral side of the abdominal aorta and are usually four pairs of arteries (3,5). LAs that correspond to
followed by ligation and removal of the intestines. The abdominal cavity of each body was then examined to identify the location at which the LAs emerged from the abdominal aorta along with any anatomical variants of the LAs, the diameter of each LA, the relationship between the MSA and LAs, and the relationship of LAs with intervertebral discs and inferior vena cava. The levels at which the LAs emerged from the abdominal aorta were defined in terms of their relationship with the intervertebral disc as upper, middle and lower 1/3 of the vertebral corpus. For measurements of LA diameters, the LAs were digitally photographed with a marker and were then digitally evaluated using ImageJ software (NIH, Bethesda, MD, USA). Descriptive statistical analysis was used to determine the mean, standard deviation (SD), and minimum and maximum values.

Statistical Analysis

SPSS Statistics version 22.0 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA) was used for statistical analysis while evaluating the findings of the study. While evaluating the study data, the suitability of the parameters in terms of normal distribution was evaluated with the Shapiro Wilks test. Accordingly, parameters were found to be suitable for a normal distribution. In addition to describing results using descriptive statistics (i.e., mean, SD, minimum, maximum, and frequency), comparisons of quantitative data and between LA groups (parameters exhibiting a normal distribution) were performed using a one-way ANOVA test. To assess resulting in differences groups, the Tukey HDS test was used. A paired sample t-test was used for right and left side comparisons. Significance was evaluated at p<0.05.

RESULTS

We examined 15 cadavers and found that the first four LAs emerged as pairs in 14 cadavers (93.3%). 1/15 cadaver (6.7%) had a single right fourth LA originating from the common trunk along with the MSA (Figure 1). The first, second, and third LAs arose as pairs in all cadavers. In 1/15 cadaver, the first LA pair arose from abdominal aorta with the common trunk. Fifth LAs were found in 3/15 cadavers. In 1/15 cadaver (6.7%) had a double fifth LA which originated distal MSA as left and right fifth LA. In 2/15 cadavers (13.3%) had single fifth LA on the right side which was crossing the intervertebral disc and emerged from the common trunk with the MSA (Figure 2). LAs on the right side of the abdominal aorta passed under the vena cava (Figure 3). The level at which the right and left LAs originated from the abdominal aorta relative to the vertebral corpus were same level at both side (Figure 4).

Levels of first four pairs of LAs emerged from the abdominal aorta (n=118 LAs) were evaluated according to the vertebral corpus; 49.1% (58 LA) from the middle 1/3 of the vertebral corpus, 35.6% (42 LA) from the lower 1/3, and 15.3% (18 LA) from the upper 1/3 were seen. In 1/15 cadavers (6.7%), the fourth LA that came out of common trunk with MSA passed the intervertebral disc. The first and second LAs originated mostly from the middle and lower 1/3 of the vertebral corpus, while the third and fourth LAs originated mostly from the upper and middle 1/3 of the vertebral corpus. Exit levels of the first four lumbar artery pairs according to the vertebral bodies in Table I. All the fifth LAs, seen in three cadavers, were crossing the intervertebral disc (Figure 5).
Table I: Exit Levels of the First Four Lumbar Artery Pairs According to the Vertebral Bodies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Side</th>
<th>LA-vertebral body</th>
<th>First LA</th>
<th>Second LA</th>
<th>Third LA</th>
<th>Fourth LA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>lower part 1/3</td>
<td>7 (46.7)</td>
<td>8 (53.3)</td>
<td>4 (26.7)</td>
<td>2 (14.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>middle part 1/3</td>
<td>7 (46.7)</td>
<td>7 (46.7)</td>
<td>10 (66.7)</td>
<td>5 (35.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>upper part 1/3</td>
<td>1 (6.7)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (6.7)</td>
<td>7 (50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>lower part 1/3</td>
<td>7 (46.7)</td>
<td>8 (53.3)</td>
<td>4 (26.7)</td>
<td>2 (14.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>middle part 1/3</td>
<td>7 (46.7)</td>
<td>7 (46.7)</td>
<td>10 (66.7)</td>
<td>5 (35.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>upper part 1/3</td>
<td>1 (6.7)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (6.7)</td>
<td>7 (50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>lower part 1/3</td>
<td>14 (46.7)</td>
<td>16 (53.3)</td>
<td>8 (26.7)</td>
<td>4 (14.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>middle part 1/3</td>
<td>14 (46.7)</td>
<td>14 (46.7)</td>
<td>20 (66.7)</td>
<td>10 (35.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>upper part 1/3</td>
<td>2 (6.7)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>2 (6.7)</td>
<td>14 (50)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1: Fourth LA originating from the common trunk along with the MSA. Arrow; fourth LA, arrow head; MSA and fourth common trunk, asteriks; aorta, circle; intervertebral disc.

Figure 2: Fifth LA crossing the intervertebral disc and arised from the common trunk with the MSA. Arrow; fifth LA, arrow head; aorta, asteriks; MSA, circle; intervertebral disc.

Figure 3: Relationship of the lumbar arteries originating from the abdominal aorta with the inferior vena cava. Arrow; LAs, arrow head; vena cava inferior, asteriks; aorta.

Figure 4: The posterior surface of the abdominal aorta, which was resected with the iliac arteries and LAs. Arrow; LAs, asteriks; aorta.
The anterior retroperitoneal approach to the lumbar region requires considerable surgical experience, a thorough knowledge of this anatomical region, and knowledge of possible variations of the most important vascular structures in the retroperitoneal region. Thus, knowing the anatomy and possible anatomical variants of the LAs will contribute to a decrease in the probability of LA injury which can lead to catastrophic consequences.

During anterior instrumentation of lumbar vertebra, several LAs may need to be ligated. Although the incidence of ischemic myelopathy after segmental vessel ligation is very low, this...
is a very serious complication (11,12,20). During the anterior lumbar approach, retraction beneath the aorta may cause accidental ruptures of LAs and may result in bleeding that is difficult to control (17). Also LAs are particularly vulnerable to retraction injury during mobilization of the psoas major if done without sufficient care. Bleeding and complications can be prevented if the radicular vessels are mobilized appropriately. Traditionally, the authors have preferred a left-sided approach because of the technical simplicity of aortic repair (1).

Antoine Portal, using a simple dissection technique in 1803, stated that the LAs originated from the aorta in four pairs and that the fifth pairs originated from MSA (13). Ratcliffe in his study of the arterial anatomy of 93 vertebrae in 24 adult cadavers in 1980, found four pairs of LAs and stated that each LA is divided into various branches in the intervertebral foramina. He reported that the fifth LA pair emerged from the MSA and these arteries were smaller than the other LAs. He noted that there were anastomoses at all levels among LAs, but the most prominent was among the fourth and fifth LAs (16).

Baniel et al. performed a retroperitoneal dissection of 102 human cadavers. They observed individual variations in the total number of LAs originating from the aorta rather than the course of LAs and reported that LAs followed a regular pattern. They stated that the LA number was between two and four pairs and that 60% had three pairs (2). Songur et al. stated in their cadaver study that there were three pairs of LAs in 11.5% of the cases, and in 3 cases (3.1%) the third and fourth LA originated from a common trunk (18).

In Ratcliffe’s study on 1982, the fourth LA was observed in 63 of 100 cases. He reported that in 15 cases, the fourth LA originated from the short, single, midline artery on the posterior surface of the aorta, and in three cases, the third LA pair originated from the single, posterior midline artery. In two cases, the fourth LA originated from the MSA under a high bifurcation of the aorta. Fifth LAs were reported to be completely or partially imaged on both sides in 38% of cases. Fifth LAs were unilateral in 14 cases (15).

Karunanayake and Pathmeswaran studied 109 cadavers and reported that some pairs of LAs originate from a common stem from the abdominal aorta. They reported that the majority of cadavers (84%) had four pairs of LAs and MSA. They reported that the first LA pair was found in 99% of cadavers, but in one cadaver, the first LA originated from a common stem. The second LA pair is present in 99% of cadavers and arose from a common root in 2% of cadavers. The third LA pair was found in 98% of cadavers and originated from a common root in 3% of the cadavers. The fourth LA pair was present in 83% of cadavers and 11% of the cadavers originated from a common root. They reported that the fifth LA pair was present in only one cadaver, in which the fifth LA pair and MSA originated from a common root in the left iliac artery. They stated that in one cadaver, the fourth LA arose from a common root with the MSA and in another cadaver the fifth LA arose from a common root with the MSA (8).

Caglar et al. reported that the diameter of the right LA was generally larger than that of the left LA in their study of 16 cadavers. They reported that the fifth LA originated from the MSA or iliolumbar artery (4). In contrast with the findings reported by Ratcliffe, they reported that the fifth LA diameter was the largest (15).

Arslan et al. examined 80 LAs in 15 cadavers and reported that four pairs of LAs originated from the dorsal aspect of the aorta in all specimens. In one of the specimens, they showed that the right and left LA originated from a common trunk at both L3 and L4 levels. They reported that the fourth LA had the largest diameter and the first LA had the smallest diameter (3.25 mm and 2.31 mm, respectively). They reported that LA diameters increased slightly from L1 to L4 (1). Kirici et al. stated that there was no significant difference between the diameters of the right and left LAs in their study of 15 cadavers (10).

The numbers of the first four pairs of LAs in our fresh cadaver study were similar to those reported by Arslan et al. and Karunanayake and Pathmeswaran, but the findings of Baniel et al. differed from ours (1,2,8). Only one cadaver (6.7%) had a right fourth LA originating from the common trunk with MSA instead of the fourth LA pair. The first, second and third LAs arose as pairs in all cadavers. In one cadaver, the first LA pair arose from the common trunk. Fifth LAs were not found in twelve cadavers. Only one cadaver (6.7%) had a pair of fifth LAs and originated distally from the MSA as the left and right pairs. Two cadavers (13.3%) had a single fifth LA on the right that originated from the common trunk with MSA.

Caglar et al. reported that the fifth LA diameter was the largest (4). Arslan et al. reported that the fourth LA had the largest diameter and the first LA had the smallest diameter (3.25 mm and 2.31 mm, respectively) (1). In contrast with those previous reports, in our study, the widest vessel diameter from the first four lumbar arteries was in the third lumbar arteries and mean vessel diameters were measured as 2.28 ± 0.36 mm. The first LA had the narrowest vessel diameter with a mean vessel diameter of 1.67 ± 0.38 mm, while the fifth LA had the smallest vessel diameter of all LAs, with a mean vessel diameter of 1.48 ± 0.71 mm (Table II).

The third and fourth LAs arose mostly from the upper and middle 1/3 of the vertebral bodies while the first and second LAs arose mostly from the lower and middle 1/3 of the vertebral body (Table I). In our study, fifth LAs were only detected in three cadavers, and these crossed through the intervertebral disc. As it can be understood from the results of our study, since the first three pairs of lumbar arteries are located further away from the intervertebral disc distance, they are less at risk for injury during the anterior retroperitoneal approach. However due to very few of the fourth lumbar arteries cross the intervertebral disc distance, the risk is slightly higher than others. Since the fifth lumbar arteries keep going by crossing the L5-S1 disc levels, the risk of lumbar artery damage is considerably higher than other disc levels. We consider that the data collected in our study of 15 cadavers, consisting of measurements very close to the actual surgical anatomical values, will build on the existing literature. Additionally, these data may contribute to
increase the success rates and reduce the complication rates of anterior retroperitoneal lumbar surgery.

**CONCLUSION**

Anterior retroperitoneal surgery has become a widely used approach for spine pathologies. Bleeding from LAs during anterior retroperitoneal surgery for the lumbar spine is a potentially relevant complication because this situation may cause serious consequences. Understanding the possibility of LA variations and knowing the relationship between the output levels of LAs and the intervertebral disc are helpful for spine surgeons embarking on anterior spine surgery as this information may help reduce the risk of procedural complications to a minimum. Surgeons need to be more careful during retroperitoneal exposure to avoid complications. This may be possible by a greater understanding of the anatomy of the anterior retroperitoneal region.
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